

BOOK

To be covered:

1. US ~~AF~~ FU Policy: Nathan, Rosenberg; Haig; SC;
NATO; UN Resolutions Cartan, 1977

Sanerille

(Read: NFO Thesis)

2. Cite: the = 153;

55+ diaries

NEED TO PREVENT OR ELIMINATE NEW, FS WEAPONS

- CURRENT CRISIS: Prospect of getting worse: ES

" " " irreversible

(SC response, toward best)

MOVE, NOT TO PREVENTIVE WAR

(in this sense; "new weapons do not change the situation")

3. Prevention war: NSC-100;

the-Dulles

JCS, 1945 -

BUT TO PREEMPTIVE ATTACK, or LOW

4. Preemptive Attack

Nathan, Rosenberg, Kaplan

5. Risks to Allies.

53, 58
the / Japan ...
(55?)

'62
JFK: Bully, Italy

- VN: '64 NUCS; (TONKIN GULF, ESC? ON BOMBING PROPENSITY OF US;

ESCALATION

- CUBA II

COERCION (TORTURE)

B-52

ORANGE

(1961...)

NIXON: NUCS

(+ DE?!)

- QUEMOY

(WESTY + GIES?)

OBEEDIENCE (MILGRAM; KELMAN)
MASSACRE

- BERLIN CRISES (and ~~then~~ Norman)

- Demo. ~~Baker~~ Step, Missile Step

- N-BOMB

(Cohen) Relief (Ear Movement;

(see New Age; PF testimony; Nathan

"long: N-bomb delay → P/COM decision!

MORALITY — NO LIMITS; LILIENTHAL

(FAILING RIGHT: "BY ANY MEANS NECESSARY")

(SECRECY? CLEARANCES? + LIES

+ CUBA? ...

(IN VN: 1964-65

(K "ATROCITIES")

— Presidents and Threats:

Were they "bluffing"?

Could they control?

What were efforts?

— MICGRAM/KELMAN: "NO CHOICE"

— Is one now really tied to threats?

Are Presidents all fully "aboard": either, use of threats,
or are build-up?

(Coalition); "Ironies": To reject A, seek B"

WHAT ARE THE REAL ^{or} EFFECTS OF THREATS? DID THEY "WORK"?

— SC Threats?

(Michael v. Freyhold)

✓ Effect of one with on planning).

— Probing risks

— Hurbin + Rowley + Ball: 1950's planning
+ Kepner

— DE notes: GEOP, SIOP, GW;

Delegation; Japan;

C3

CUBA / CRISES

— NITZE Analysis; + Sanders

Read:

- Carter, Vane, Bry., ^(K) Paul, K, ... memoirs.
Turner

- Haig,

Refs on FU: Ege, Paine

- N, HAK, Hersch

- Kaldas: 3 vols.

- Block, Gardner, Kernan

- Sharp; Fragson + Emerson? on 1980... SANDERS

- ME: Chowdhury; Chowdhury, Herman; Herman;
Martine Dixon

Euclidean, Notes of Soul; Copeland, Hum of Nations...
Sug...

- Aldridge, First Strike; Arkin, SIOP DIANA JOHNSTONE: P/CM

- Talk to: - Tom Power;
- Hargen ("Emerson Kebab")
- on being up for

(VN / Central America?)

Negato books: Strode Tolbott; SACT
Grand Smith;

- Sovits :-----

- "Superiority" Handlin: Loc + Paul Thuyet; Metaphysics;
Sharp: 2 vols
Sharp on Print Action: 3 vols

- History of HX, P, CM
1 Setto
P. J. Smith

- REVENGE / SHANE

- DECISION THEORY

- "Civilization" (New Age)

- Effects of activities mount on VN
Moratorium; Goodell;

- Objections: Milgram; Kelman; Koestler; Manford

- CRISIS PATTERN

- Role of churches; morality; "conversion"
Theology; Blegwood-Hite; "OPTIMISM"
Bible; Jesus, etc.

next steps
Oakland, March 19

- Role of women: Killigan; ^{Sue} Mansfield

- Energy; Moratorium; Congress;

- Revenge; Shame;

- Consensus on H-bomb, fall-out: "Keep Them Confused"

- US/UK public reaction on WWII Bombing?

- Pacifism? Unilateral Disarmament?

- Good and Evil Actions

- Good's Melancholy

- Genocide

(Kuperus; Novak; Rubenstein HILBERG ^{Himmler; Kohn} (VN, Milgram)

- NUREMBERG: "We are all Jews Now..." What should they + Germans, have done?

- Actions:

- RF (Peters; Rohrer's statement; ^{DS} (to judge?))

- Reminiscence: tape, statement (BATOURIN)

- Disinformation?

- Vandenberg

Center Doctrine

- Draft Resistance: Central American, ME + more War

me
1961:

Retention:

D-L

2d - Still D-L

"Super-Deterrence": interaction
C3

rewards

For Nature of "FS, FU"

weapons;

interactions

actual course of war

C3 (DE, Ball, Striking)

"Bluff"

"Averting WWII"

"Ending War" (Court, Office...)

Averting war in Europe

Scowcroft rationale for MX [Chap. 14: Critique needed]

"Averting WWII fought with conventional weapons" "= New WWII" (Germany)

What is your plan?

When does this end?

Can race be stopped?

(Do Pres' want to stop?)

Have they in fact?

Does R?

Does Pres have power to stop?

(Can we hold him firm that

Power?)

Factors that stabilize relations - Violence.

- Development of Cath. position?:

- Appeal to work - production, design

- Ignite of NATO planning

- Call to meeting

- Unilateral halt to further prod., testing, deployment

(if necessary; if Pres won't propose unilateral freeze)

My involt:

1943

Aug

1945

to time

(1946)

JEK admin

1948-53

C3

1954

1956

1958-63

1964

1964-65

1965-67

1968

1969

1970

1971

1971-1972-74 (N)

1974 (Popins stolen) - 75

1976 - Walk

1977

1978-79 N-bomb; RF

(1980 Fridge; Mass.

1982: Ew

1983: CAG, Vandenberg, Mt Koby, Fridge that TF, Europe, Fast

Book for 85

— Model of Arms Race/US review #3

my love
industrial:
SU counter-
measures

— What's wrong with "Arms Control" — what they don't know,
leave out... (neglect of our threats...)

— Ambiguities: ^{types of} deterrence, ^{types of} stability, ^{types of} "FS"; regions of FU
"security" (US interests)

— Effects of secrecy

— Theories of build-up: "for Type I Det"

2d-stage D-L

Why the need? Why been SU 2d stage?

US FS cap., threats, US position, FU threats,

How did USG become build Downside Machine?

(Note Teller in LA to Col. Keesing: to DE 1982

"We have
brought about
a catastrophe"

"I couldn't solve problem of 'beating'
SU CO"

He had solved it, in 1952.

Consequences/costs/risks of FU threat - policy:

i.e. of the "Clobber" view on US interests, and threats

(Nixon 1949) that drives US on — with the presents

a bilateral halt — drives SU on — prolongs (rising risks)

and international/confrontation/Praxis → !

— How Could They/We?

(VN; Downside Machine;

Ending of
Torture, Terror
Korea, Japan
(Infant. Mort.
"abortion")

US as "Totalitarian" State

("Vs. Seamless Web")

making Total War

(We can't be the ones
who call this all off...")

Frankin Kumpf! Jay Austin/Fukini

212-556-7367

Queeny
Korea

Talk to: York, May, Campbell. (Wood?)

or Big Articles

Book: Construction of Instability
Threat of Punishment } article in
Int. Sec.;
(or Foreign Policy
or World Policy?)

Relation of Count Plans
to my SIOP Mindset (How come?)

- Schles, Kaplan
- (2) "Hints that 'new' plans were mine of '61: ^{Why 'new'?} ^{Why nuclear relevant now?}
- (1b) Hints of N's nuclear threats, 1969.)

(Establish my past credentials, and the count relevance,

Relation to WWII

"Massive Retaliation" / New Look

WWI
Post-Threats (Rostow, R)
... to a "different, elite view of history"

(see Powers on Count Outlets
"public had a child's
view of history"
(and of character of
"US, democracy..."!)

{ Scowcroft
lack of concern with "Widening of Vulnerability"

+ I have a special reason to want to know
how this happened —

(and maybe — if I can be honest — spend
midnight).

Transcribe?

- UCLA ~~strategy~~^{lecture} on Nietzsche
- Capra lecture
- (Notes on) conv. with Thomerson / Breunle
- Seminar (Breunle)

Use form of lecture?

Article

MX

Aspin, Gore (Common

Scowcroft

Midgton (MARV)

"Stability"

Build-down

"Verification" (see notes of Feb 84)

designed to keep both sides producing,
not to stop SU

SU actual FS/CF progress are "US-preferred,"
"optimal"

for US "credibility" of (present) threats
and for mobilization of US public support for
arms budget, intervention, and CF cap.

13 Jan 85

for:

Fried: Stanford lecture #1

Irwin lectures

Hawwood lectures

Ron Davis book

Thesis?

Recreation lectures?

"How to get there" (absol. of res, reduction, stabilizing)

Gandhian + critique

R/public vs. Frey

Article:

- What Mondale could have said...

(Nov '84)

- Was there a difference between M + R?

(see Poire on "Monetarism")

M on "Frey"

see head of Am Control Assoc. Bob...

(Neil Johnson tape...)

"Who on your staff backs the Frey?"

"He does..." (Gandhian)...

[Need for Pres with new staff, not in post Advis!]

NFU

Am monetarism

- W/ R votes from Freygers

- Carolyn Blumkin on Frey conveys

- Nat CDD poll on Frey, thinks

Hallup poll on war...

- M/G Crisis / Neurology

Three FU + CA (Cuba) - or horizontal etc.

Falklands

- What is Adv strategy w/ res?

w/ CA?

- Chris Poire: Testing

- Frey "Post-Mortem" 1979-84

(ins. attitude of "experts," "am controls"...

Frey Vs. SANE/C.C./CFLW approach, lobbying...

Key facts / un-facts (lies) for understanding post-war (pre-war?) world

- Strat bombing policy.
- Motives for Hiroshima / Nagasaki.
- Early postwar US war plans.
- Motives for Vietnam in Asia, H-bomb (early 1949; after SU bomb)
- Motives for "defence" build up (NSC-68),
and for troops to Europe, NATO
- US postwar aims:
 - CFR, 1939-45 "New World" (OK, etc. up to)
 - aim was Germany. (awant, division, "Western")
 - "free Trade"
- US war preparation: 1945-49
1950-64
- US EU threats, + "necessaries"
- Relation of SAC to EU threat - credibility, possibility of success /
CW: how, to end + success of US intentions.
- US CIA (+ financial) role in control, coups (in TW;
and in West Europe
(and US??))
- USG willing to use, as instruments,
 - torture
 - imprisonment
 - destruction of democracy
 - assass.
 - bombing of civilians
 - destruction of dikes, dams: flooding, starvation
 - coups
 - economic warfare (e.g. boycott of credit, or of oil/energy,
or spare parts; ... blockade
- NATO as "Alliance for US EU" [endorsement of ... MASS-MURDER, INC.]
- Shift from A to H-bombs in plans + intentions.

Need for,
possibility of,
public
comprehension:
every
lies
distortion
interpretation
(control of)

} things proper

see Cohen
"Intelligence";
AJW on 2/47..

[and no real efforts to "convincent, abolish" big,
dirty bombs on either side: even as some are replaced
by "small, clean, usable bombs" for "war-fighting"]
or prevent escalation - which means so that
is order to limit nuclear war, and make the war usable,
usable.

- New CF Role: 1967-83-

MIRVs
accuracy
MMII / approval 1977
SS-18 " 1981

P/CM (SS-20...)

...

- Deterrence Instability

- French and Soviet concerns about Germany: armed, unified, independent...

- US concern for oil of Middle East, on par with NATO
(1946 plus, on; Arab Spring 2000, 1982...)

relation to FU threats.

- VS INDIGENOUS "RADICALS"
(OR "FUNDAMENTALISTS")

- VS SU SUPPORT, OR REGIONAL LEGITIMACY

- (Current) US attitude to proliferation, "any friends." (NEGOT)

- Unwillingness of USG ^{Pres} to seek an end to the arms race —
forego testing, or the maintenance of CF, credible FU/FS threats

- Reminds USG under-estimation of the commitment of TW
opponents to nationalism, and ^{especially for} patriotic resistance
to US-backed regimes, & to US bombing & troops.

[Like other aggressors]. (Now, Grenada, Lebanon —
(see Boston: Oct 30, NYT 1983)

- Falsity of implication of public rationale for Hiroshima
as a precedent: in fact, Pres' willingness to contemplate
FU of over to avert "non-extinguishing" hot-beds
(not involving need to "avoid one million US casualties"
or end WWII); and to plan FS — to risk
North America — to avert threats (loss of
West Europe) that are not unprecedented (like SD FS).

- US public ^{+USG} non-acceptance of Munich Principles.

(cont.)

- how US admin came to office having proclaimed
that US was (senior) superior in strength and will,
was inclined to increase & diversify both
(JFK; Reagan) (the